

Unit Specification

INT6D1 – Develop your performance as a Sign Language Interpreter

J/602/0490

UNIT SPECIFICATION

Unit INT6D1 - Develop your Performance as a Sign Language Interpreter (Mandatory)

(RQF Accreditation Number J/602/0490)

Unit aims

The candidate will review and evaluate their performance as an interpreter, identify their strengths and weaknesses and formulate a plan for their professional development.

This unit is recommended for people who have excellent language skills in two languages and who would like to develop or accredit the skills needed to work as a professional interpreter.

Unit summary

Guided learning	Additional	Total learning time	Credit value at
hours	study/practice time		Level 6
160	80	240	24

Learning outcomes	Assessment criteria						
By the end of this unit of learning	By the end this unit of learning the successful learner can:						
the successful learner will:							
Evaluate own performance as	1.1 Use commonly used concepts and criteria to review						
an interpreter	own preparation for and delivery of assignments						
	1.2 Evaluate the language used during interpreting						
	assignments in terms of syntax, lexical choice,						
	pronunciation and intonation/modulation, register						
	1.3 Review how accurately and fluently the meaning						
	the source language message was processed into the						
	target language						

- 1.4 Evaluate how well assignments were managed in terms of:
 - a) own conduct, style and interaction with users
 - b) the approach taken to dealing with cultural expectations
 - the appropriateness of simultaneous/whispered and/or consecutive mode
 - d) instances of communication breakdown, their causes, and whether the right action was taken to repair them
 - e) own compliance with the principles of professional practice and the relevant registration body's code of conduct
- 1.5 Produce an accurate and justifiable analysis of the strengths and also areas for development as an interpreter1.6 Identify ways in which own preparation for assignments

could be improved

Plan and implement professional development

- 2.1 Use evaluation of own performance to plan how preparation for assignments and interpreting performance can be improved
- 2.2 Set development goals and priorities consistently with the evaluation of own performance
- 2.3 Identify and take relevant opportunities to develop own interpreting skills and knowledge
- 2.4 Set relevant criteria to evaluate own professional development programme
- 2.5 Regularly monitor and evaluate own professional development against the criteria set
- 2.6 Update and revise development plan in the light progress made
- 2.7 Seek appropriate advice, if progress and achievements do not meet own expectations

Unit INT6D1 - Develop your Performance as a Sign Language Interpreter (Mandatory)

ASSESSMENT SPECIFICATION

Requirements	Guidance									
Minimum of four samples of	Evaluations which are the result of, own									
evaluation	reflections, use of theoretical models and									
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
which must contain evidence either	feedback from colleagues and clients to produce									
on	an accurate analysis of own performance as an									
video or of live observation.	interpreter.									
2. Evaluation needs to relate to actual										
assignments (whether those										
assignments are real or simulated).										
3. The use of interpreting theory should	Guidance notes on interpreting theory from									
be included in all evidence.	INT6A1 might be useful. Other methods such as									
	Miscue and Discourse Analysis. This list is not									
	exhaustive.									
4. One-to-one and in groups.										
5. At least one sample must be of										
one-way interpreting and two samples										
of two-way.										
6. Evidence of first to second language										
and second to first language.										

7. Written development plan covering at least a six-month period.

Demonstrate own development by completing a written development plan. This should include learning and action points from reflective/learning journals. The development plans to show evidence of self-assessment and identification of any further learning required (which could include research, specialist training, or mentoring, for example).

Knowledge and understanding

Knowledge and understanding is not assessed separately, but through achievement of the assessment criteria.

- K1 Concepts and terminology commonly used to analyse interpreting performance, e.g. development of glossaries, choice of modes of interpreting, error analysis, chunking and the use of time-lag in simultaneous interpreting, and the effectiveness of the interpretation in the context and environment of the assignment.
- **K2** Methods to review and assess your preparation for assignments.
- **K3** Methods to review your interpreting performance.
- **K4** Methods to review your management of the interpreting assignments.
- K5 Methods to check that your analysis of strengths and weaknesses is accurate and justifiable.

To plan and implement your development programme effectively, you must have knowledge of:

- **K1** Strategies to improve your performance and knowledge.
- K2 Opportunities for continuing professional development, e.g. training courses, use of published materials or self-study.
- K3 Criteria and techniques to evaluate your development programme.
- K4 The advice on continuing professional development available from professional bodies.
- K5 Sources of information, such as mentors, peers, clients and users, who can offer you advice on your development.

Unit INT6D1 – Develop your Performance as a Sign Language Interpreter (Mandatory)

CANDIDATE ASSESSMENT RECORD

Candidate	name:			Te	ache	r-Ass	sesso	or n	am	e:											
date	Portfolio reference		Evidence type*	Evidence title	Learning Outcomes and Assessment Criteria – each column must be ticked to show that the criteria has been met.															be	
	Page	Clip number	Evide		1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4				1.5	1.6	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	
	number							а	b	С	d	е									
*FC = film clip, O	b = Observa	tion, S = Simu	ılated, I	_ = Live, WP = Written Product, Q = Question / What If, F	PD = Pro	fession	nal Disc	cussi	on, V	VS =	Witn	ess S	Stateme	ent	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
I can confir	m that th	e evidend	ce is	authentic work of the candidate.	Te	ache	r-ass	ses	sor	's s	ign	atu	re:								
IV signature	e & date	if sample	d fro	m this unit																	
Candidate's signature:			Date completed:																		

Confirmed by initials and date at end of respective line.

Signature

Mersey House Mandale Business Park Belmont Durham DH1 1TH

Telephone: 0191 383 1155 Textphone: 07974 121594 Fax: 0191 383 7914

Email: customersupport@signature.org.uk

Website: www.signature.org.uk

© Signature May 2017